

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/061,216	02/04/2002	Gregory P. Pogue	43276	3510	
7590 09/12/2005			. EXAMINER		
John C. Robbins Intellectual Property Department Large Scale Biology Corporation 3333 Vaca Valley Parkway, Suite 1000			FOLEY, SHANON A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648		
Vacaville, CA	95688		DATE MAILED: 09/12/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/061,216	POGUE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shanon Foley	1648		

	Shanon Foley	1648	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d	ate of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bel appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NCw); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		geolea erairrie.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	 See attached Notice of Non-C 112, second paragraph. 		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>79-88</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		vill be entered and an	explanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a (1).
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
See the attached correspondence. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s). Shanon Foley Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 1648	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application/Control Number: 10/061,216

Art Unit: 1648

Request for Reconsideration

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 70 and 79-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Garger et al. (US 6,033,895), (US 6,037,456), (US 6,303,779 B1) or (US 6,740,740 B2), each in the alternative, Koprowski et al. (US 6,042,832) and Francon et al. (US 5,075,110) for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that the recitation of "another cycle of resuspension, centrifugation and PEG-precipitation" does not suggest a second butanol treatment.

A review of the reference has been considered, but is found unpersuasive since the initial suspension step is accomplished by the addition of n-butanol. Since the reference only teaches one particular solvent for the original suspension, the "resuspension" step is necessarily accomplished by n-butanol. The repetition of the cycle taught by Garger et al. (citing Gooding et al.) teaches the order of the steps recited in the instant claims.

With respect to Kaprowski et al., applicant argues that the reference is using PEG/NaCl in a different type of solution. However, the aim of using PEG/NaCl is known to extract virus, whether from crude or purified material, see column 3, lines 29-33 of Garger et al. and column 12, lines 60-63 of Kaprowski et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/061,216 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

Applicant cites McCormick et al. as showing superior results using a higher concentrations of PEG. However, the "superior" results applicant refers to are not readily apparent as a comparison is not made. Surprising results cannot be determined from applicant's statements about the reference. Therefore, it is maintained that the concentrations claimed would be prima facie obvious for reasons of record.

Applicant further argues that Garger et al. teaches away from using solvents. However, this conclusion is clearly contradicted by Garger et al., see column 3, lines 51-52 and column 9, lines 60-65.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shanon Foley whose telephone number is (571) 272-0898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00 AM - 2:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on (571) 272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shanon Foley

SHANON FOLEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER